

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/362,05	8 07/28/9	99 IWASAKI		М	P99.0922
026263 WM02/1002 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. BOX 061080			, ¬	EXAMINER	
				_ LEE,R	
	IVE STATION	1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	L 60606-108			2613	
				DATE MAILED:	
					10/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/362,058

Applicant(s)

lwasaki

Examiner

Richard Lee

Art Unit 2613



The	e MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Rep				
	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM		
after SIX - If the period	(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
 If NO period communi Failure to rej Any reply re 	for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory position. ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this vistatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	tent tenn adjustment. See S7 CFR 1.704(b).			
1) Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This a	action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
	this application is in condition for allowance ϵ d in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of	Claims			
4) 💢 Claim	(s) <u>1-7</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of	the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌 Claim	(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢 Claim	(s) <u>1-7</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌 Claim	(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌 Claim	s	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Pa	apers			
9) The s	pecification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□ The d	drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11)□ The p	proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.		
12)□ The c	oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) 💢 Ackn	owledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) 💢 All	b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
1. 💢	Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
2. 🗆	Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
	application from the International Bure	· · ·		
	attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•		
14)∐ Ackn	owledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 O.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)				
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
1 /) [Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Uther:		

Application/Control Number: 09/362,058

Art Unit: 2613

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" appearing at line 8 of the Abstract should be avoided. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

At claim 2, line 6, line 17, "the image-capturing region" shows no clear antecedent basis, respectively.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2613

5. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sekine et al (5,907,434).

Sekine et al discloses an image pickup apparatus as shown in Figures 1, 2, 8, and 15, and the same three dimensional image capturing apparatus as claimed in claims 1 and 5, comprising the same image capturing device (i.e., 121, 122 of Figure 8) having a plurality of image capturing regions; a plurality of optical systems (see Figure 8) for forming images of a subject in the image capturing regions, the optical systems including a plurality of reflection means (801, 802 of Figure 8) for reflecting rays from the subject a number of times, and at least a lens (111, 112 of Figure 8) provided to be closer to the image capturing device than the closest reflection means to the subject among the reflection means, wherein the reflection means and the lens are used to form, in the image capturing regions, separate images of the subject which are captured from different viewpoints having a distance therebetween; and a signal processing means (see Figure 1) for dividing a video signal from the image capturing device into video signals from the image capturing device into video signals from the image capturing regions for capturing images of the subject from the different viewpoints.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2613

7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekine et al as applied to claims 1 and 5 in the above paragraph (5), and further in view of Ishihara (5,737,084).

Sekine et al discloses substantially the same three dimensional image capturing apparatus as above, but does not particularly disclose light shielding means provided at least between the image capturing device and the reflection means so as to separate the optical systems for forming images of the subject and light limiting means provided to be closer to the subject than the reflection means for the (2n-1)-th reflection from the image capturing device along the optical systems, wherein the light limiting means prevent incidence of flux of ambient light outer from rays forming each image of the subject as claimed in claims 3 and 4. However, Ishihara discloses a three dimension shape measuring apparatus as shown in Figure 8, and teaches the conventional light shielding and light limiting means (see 17, 19 of Figures 5 and 8, column 9, lines 5-22, column 11, lines 29-56) for preventing the incidence of flux of ambient light outer from rays forming the image of the subject. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Sekine et al and Ishihara references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of three dimensional image capturings, would have had no difficulty in providing the light shielding and light limiting features of Ishihara for the three dimensional capturing system of Sekine et al for the same well known reduction of light rays from the subject purposes as claimed.

Art Unit: 2613

8. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekine et al as applied to claims 1 and 5 in the above paragraph (5), and further in view of Tabata et al (5,737,084).

Sekine et al discloses substantially the same three dimensional image capturing apparatus as above, further including a timing generator for driving the three dimensional image capturing apparatus so as to output the images formed in the image capturing regions in the form of a single video signal and a driver (see 1504 of Figure 15 and column 9, lines 63-67); and a camera signal processor for implementing camera signal processing on the single video signal (see Figure 1).

Sekine et al does not particularly disclose, though, the followings:

- (a) wherein parallax which is the distance between the viewpoints is one centimeter or greater as claimed in claimed 6; and
- (b) a signal recorder for recording on a signal recording medium the processed video signal output from the camera signal processor; a single reproducer for reproducing the video signal recorded on the recording medium; a video separating circuit for separating the reproduced video signal from the reproducer into signals corresponding to the image capturing regions; and display apparatuses for displaying the signals corresponding to the image capturing regions, which are output from the video separating circuit as claimed in claim 7.

Regarding (a) and (b), Tabata et al discloses an image display apparatus with recording and reproduction capabilities as shown in Figures 2, 13, 16, 17, 19, 21, and 22, and teaches the conventional parallax from stereoscopic imagings (see column 6, lines 25-30, column 20, lines 8-

Art Unit: 2613

14, and Figures 13A and 13B), which obviously could be one centimeter or greater as claimed. In addition, Tabata et al teaches substantially the same recording means, reproducing means, video separating circuit, and display apparatuses (see Figures 17, 19, 21, and 22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Sekine et al and Tabata et al references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of the recording, reproducing, and display of three dimensional images, would have had no difficulty in providing the recording and reproducing of videos, video separating, and display apparatuses as taught by Tabata et al for the three dimensional imaging system of Sekine et al as well as recognizing the images of the subject of Sekine et al results in a parallax effect in view of the parallax teachings of Tabata et al for the same well known three dimensional image capturing purposes as claimed.

9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekine et al as applied to claims 1 and 5 in the above paragraph (5), and further in view of Ishihara (5,737,084) and Tabata et al (6,177,952).

Sekine et al discloses substantially the same three dimensional image capturing apparatus as above, further including a plurality of imaging side reflection means (118, 119 of Figure 8) having reflectors provided to the obliquely outward for a plurality of different portions of the image capturing region of the image capturing device; a plurality of subject side reflection means (801, 802 of Figure 8) having reflectors provided, for the imaging side reflection means, outer from the imaging side reflection means so as to be oblique with respect to a subject, the subject side reflection means reflecting rays from the subject to the corresponding imaging side reflection

Application/Control Number: 09/362,058

Art Unit: 2613

means; a plurality of lenses or lens units (see 116, 117 of Figure 8) provided to be closer to the image capturing device than the subject side reflection means in optical paths formed from the subject to the different portions of the image capturing region of the image capturing device so that rays are further reflected by the imaging side reflection means, the lenses or lens units forming a plurality of images of the subject.

Page 7

Sekine et al does not particularly disclose, though, forming a plurality of images of the subject which have parallax and a plurality of diaphragms in which when each optical path has a lens, the diaphragms are provided to be closer to the subject than the lens and in which when each optical path has a lens unit, the diaphragms are provided to be closer to the subject than a lens of the lens unit as claimed in claim 2. However, Ishihara teaches the conventional use of diaphragms within the optical path of an imaging sensor (see 12 of Figure 8) and Tabata et al teaches the general stereoscopic imagings involving parallax caused by the images (see column 6, lines 25-30, column 20, lines 8-14, and Figures 13A and 13B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Sekine et al, Ishihara, and Tabata et al references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of three dimensional imagings, would have had no difficulty in providing the diaphragm imaging optics as taught by Ishihara for the three dimensional imaging system of Sekine et al as well recognizing that the images of the subject of Sekine et al results in a parallax effect in view of the parallax teachings of Tabata et al for the same well known three dimensional image capturing purposes as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/362,058

Page 8

Art Unit: 2613

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ishihara (6,108,090), Sorimachi et al, Koyama et al, Miyakawa et al, and Sudo disclose various types of three dimensional imaging systems.

11. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2613

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6612. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m, with alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Richard Lee/rl

9/28/01